arXiv:1704.00093v1 [math.CV] 1 Apr 2017

Carlson's Theorem for Different Measures

Meredith Sargent

April 4, 2017

1 Introduction

In 1913, Bohr [2] observed that one may connect Dirichlet series converging on the right half plane $\mathbb{C}_+ = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : \Re(s) > 0\}$ to power series on the infinite polydisk using the correspondence

$$z_1 = 2^{-s},\, z_2 = 3^{-s},\, \dots,\, z_j = p_j^{-s}, \dots$$

where p_j denotes the jth prime. For a Dirichlet series $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s}$, we can use the fundamental theorem of arithmetic to factor each integer n uniquely and then represent f by a power series F in the variables $\{z_j\}$. As discussed in [8], this also allows us to consider any vertical line in $\mathbb{C}$ as an ergodic flow on the infinite-dimensional polytorus $\mathbb{T}^\infty$:

$$(e^{i\theta_1},\, e^{i\theta_2},\, \dots) \mapsto (p_1^{-it}e^{i\theta_1},\, p_2^{-it}e^{i\theta_2},\, \dots) \in \mathbb{T}^\infty$$

and in particular, the imaginary axis maps to the boundary of the infinite polydisk (of radius one.)

We would like to compare a "space average" of the power series F on $\mathbb{T}^\infty$ to a "time average" of the Dirichlet series f on the ergodic flow described above. For this question, we consider $\mathcal{H}^\infty$, the Banach space of Dirichlet series of the form

$$f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s} \tag{1.1}$$

that converge to bounded analytic functions on $\mathbb{C}_+$. A theorem of Carlson [3] tells us about the limit in the mean of a Dirichlet series on an ergodic flow for $\sigma > 0$.

Theorem 1 (Carlson's Theorem)**.** *If an ordinary Dirichlet series $f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s}$ converges in the right half plane $\mathbb{C}_+$ and is bounded in every half plane $\Re(s) \geq \delta$ for $\delta > 0$, then for each $\sigma > 0$*

$$\lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T |f(\sigma + it)|^2 dt = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 n^{-2\sigma} \tag{1.2}$$

Saksman and Seip showed in [8] that Carlson's theorem fails to hold on the imaginary axis when we replace $f(\sigma + it)$ with its non-tangential limit $f(it)$ (which exists for almost every t.)

Theorem 2 (Saksman-Seip)**.** *The following two statements hold:*

(i) There exists a function f in $\mathcal{H}^\infty$ such that

$$\lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T |f(it)|^2 dt$$

does not exist.

(ii) Given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a singular inner function $g = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n n^{-s}$ in $\mathcal{H}^\infty$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |b_n|^2 \leq \epsilon$.

What this result tells us is that there can be no direct analog of Carlson's Theorem on the boundary: the limit need not exist and equality need not hold, at least not for Lebesgue measure and for all functions in $\mathcal{H}^\infty$. However, by looking at a smaller space, we can prove an analog of Carlson's theorem.

The space we consider is $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{C}_+)$, the set of Dirichlet series which are convergent on $\mathbb{C}_+$ and define uniformly continuous functions there. In [1], Aron, Bayart *et al.* show that $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{C}_+)$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{H}^\infty$ and prove that it consists exactly of the uniform limits of Dirichlet polynomials.

Theorem 3 (Aron, *et al.*)**.** *Given $f : \mathbb{C}_+ \to \mathbb{C}$ the following are equivalent.*

1. *f is the uniform limit on $\mathbb{C}_+$ of a sequence of Dirichlet polynomials.*
2. *f is represented by a Dirichlet series pointwise on $\mathbb{C}_+$ and f is uniformly continuous on $\mathbb{C}_+$.*

Note also the fact from [1] that $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{C}_+)$ is isometrically isomorphic to $A(\mathbb{D}^{\mathbb{N}})$, the infinite dimensional analog of the disk algebra discussed by Makridis and Nestoridis in [6]:

$$\begin{gathered} n : \mathbb{N}^\infty \to \mathbb{N} \\ n : (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d, 0, \dots) \mapsto p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_d^{\alpha_d} \\ F(z) = \sum_\alpha a_\alpha z^\alpha \mapsto f(s) = \sum_\alpha a_\alpha \left[n(\alpha)\right]^{-s} \end{gathered} \tag{1.3}$$

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic gives that n is a well defined bijection, by requiring the elements of $\mathbb{N}^\infty$ to have only finitely many non-zero terms.

On $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{C}_+)$, a version of Carlson's theorem holds for Lebesgue measure, and, given a Borel measure on the polytorus, we can construct a corresponding measure on the real line that gives the relation in Carlson's Theorem.

Now we can state the main theorem:

Theorem 4. *(i) Let μ be a Borel probability measure on the infinite torus $\mathbb{T}^\infty$. There exists a locally finite Borel measure ν on $\mathbb{R}$, such that, for all $\sum a_\alpha \left[n(\alpha)\right]^{-s} \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{C}_+)$*

$$\lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{\nu([0,T])} \int_0^T \left| \sum a_\alpha \left[n(\alpha)\right]^{-it} \right|^2 d\nu(t) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} \left| \sum a_\alpha z^\alpha \right|^2 d\mu(z). \tag{1.4}$$

(ii) Let ν be a locally finite Borel measure on $\mathbb{R}$ such that the limit on the left hand side of (1.4) exists and is finite for all $\sum a_\alpha \left[n(\alpha)\right]^{-s} \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{C}_+)$. Then there exists a unique Borel probability measure μ on the infinite torus $\mathbb{T}^\infty$ such that, for all $\sum a_\alpha \left[n(\alpha)\right]^{-s} \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{C}_+)$, (1.4) holds.

2 Proof of Theorem 4, Part *(i)*

2.1 Preliminaries

2.1.1 Approximating a Borel measure by a sum of point masses

To prove Theorem 4*(i)*, we will first prove it by construction for a single point mass, then for a linear combination of point masses, then for Borel probability measures. The last step uses the fact that we can approximate a finite Borel measure by a finite linear combination of point masses.

Lemma 5. *Let X be a compact metric space. The set V of finite linear combinations of point masses is dense in the space of finite Borel measures, $M(X)$, with the weak-$*$ topology.*

Proof. Recall that $\mathbb{T}^\infty$ is a compact metric space and the Riesz representation theorem (for example, Theorem 6.19 in [7]) says that for a compact Hausdorff space X, the space of finite Borel measures $M(X)$ is isometrically isomorphic to $C(X)^*$. Also, for $M(X)$ with the weak-$*$ topology, $M(X)^* = C(X)$.

An application of the Hahn-Banach theorem then allows us to show that V is dense if and only if the only bounded linear functional that vanishes on V is the zero functional. Now, knowing this and knowing that (with the weak-$*$ topology) $M(X)^* = C(X)$, we can see that the set V is dense in $M(X)$ because any continuous function that vanishes for every point mass must be identically zero. □

2.1.2 Uniform Convergence of Dirichlet Polynomials

Lemma 6. *If (1.4) holds for all Dirichlet polynomials, it holds for all elements of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{C}_+)$.*

Proof. We would first like to show that $f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{C}_+)$ (or its nontangential limit $\tilde{f}$) can be represented as a uniform limit of Dirichlet polynomials on the imaginary axis. Because $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{C}_+)$ is isometrically isomorphic to $A(\mathbb{D}^{\mathbb{N}})$ this will also give a sequence of polynomials F_k that converge uniformly to F, the image of f under the isomorphism.

Let $\epsilon > 0$. Because $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{C}_+)$ is defined as the set of Dirichlet series which are convergent on $\mathbb{C}_+$ and define uniformly continuous functions there, the nontangential limit of any function $f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{C}_+)$ on $\overline{\mathbb{C}_+}$, $\tilde{f}$ is uniformly continuous. This means that there exists some δ such that for $0 < \sigma < \delta$,

$$\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} |\tilde{f}(it) - \tilde{f}(\sigma + it)| < \frac{\epsilon}{4}$$

Since $\tilde{f}$ is the nontangential limit of f, they agree on $\mathbb{C}_+$ and for $0 < \sigma < \delta$,

$$\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} |\tilde{f}(\sigma + it) - f(\sigma + it)| < \frac{\epsilon}{4}.$$

Also, since $f \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{C}_+)$, there is some sequence of Dirichlet polynomials f_k converging uniformly to f on $\mathbb{C}_+$: for large k,

$$\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R},\, \sigma>0} |f(\sigma + it) - f_k(\sigma + it)| < \frac{\epsilon}{4}.$$

For fixed t, Dirichlet polynomials are monotonically decreasing as $\sigma \to \infty$, so we can say that for $0 < \sigma < \delta$,

$$\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} |f(\sigma + it) - f_k(\sigma + it)| < \frac{\epsilon}{4}.$$

Lastly, note that Dirichlet polynomials are uniformly continuous, so for $0 < \sigma < \delta$,

$$\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} |f_k(it) - f_k(\sigma + it)| < \frac{\epsilon}{4}.$$

Putting this together, we consider (for $0 < \sigma < \delta$ and sufficiently large k)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} |\tilde{f}(it) - f_k(it)| \\
&\quad = \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} |\tilde{f}(it) - \tilde{f}(\sigma + it) + \tilde{f}(\sigma + it) - f(\sigma + it) + f(\sigma + it) - f_k(\sigma + it) + f_k(\sigma + it) - f_k(it)| \\
&\quad \le \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \Big\{ |\tilde{f}(it) - \tilde{f}(\sigma + it)| + |\tilde{f}(\sigma + it) - f(\sigma + it)| + |f(\sigma + it) - f_k(\sigma + it)| + |f_k(\sigma + it) - f_k(it)| \Big\} \\
&\quad < \epsilon
\end{aligned}$$

This gives that f_k converges uniformly on $i\mathbb{R}$ to $\tilde{f}$.

Now we have (for sufficiently large k and for each T)

$$\left| \frac{1}{\nu([0,T])} \int_0^T \left| \tilde{f}(it) \right|^2 d\nu(t) - \frac{1}{\nu([0,T])} \int_0^T |f_k(it)|^2 \, d\nu(t) \right| < \epsilon$$

and so for large k,

$$\left| \lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{\nu([0,T])} \int_0^T \left| \tilde{f}(it) \right|^2 d\nu(t) - \lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{\nu([0,T])} \int_0^T |f_k(it)|^2 \, d\nu(t) \right| < \epsilon$$

This means that we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{\nu([0,T])} \int_0^T |f(it)|^2 \, d\nu(t) &= \lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{\nu([0,T])} \int_0^T \lim_{k\to\infty} |f_k(it)|^2 \, d\nu(t) \\
&= \lim_{T\to\infty} \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{1}{\nu([0,T])} \int_0^T |f_k(it)|^2 \, d\nu(t) \\
&= \lim_{k\to\infty} \lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{\nu([0,T])} \int_0^T |f_k(it)|^2 \, d\nu(t)
\end{aligned}$$

and since we have the result for Dirichlet polynomials,

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \lim_{k\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} |F_k(z)|^2 \, d\mu(z) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} |F(z)|^2 \, d\mu(z)
\end{aligned}$$

As needed. □

2.2 For $d\mu = \delta_\lambda$, a single point mass.

Lemma 7. *For every $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}^\infty$, there exists a positive infinite measure ν on $\mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$|F(\lambda)|^2 = \lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{\nu_\lambda([0,T])} \int_0^T |f(t)|^2 d\nu_\lambda$$

for Dirichlet polynomials f.

Proof. We will construct ν_λ to be a sum of point masses. Let $F(z) = \sum a_\alpha z^\alpha$. Then, expanding gives

$$\begin{aligned}
|F(\lambda)|^2 &= \left| \sum a_\alpha \lambda^\alpha \right|^2 \\
&= \sum |a_\alpha|^2 |\lambda^\alpha|^2 + \sum_\alpha \sum_{\beta\neq\alpha} a_\alpha \overline{a_\beta} \lambda^\alpha \overline{\lambda^\beta} \\
&= \sum |a_\alpha|^2 + \sum_\alpha \sum_{\beta\neq\alpha} a_\alpha \overline{a_\beta} \lambda^\alpha \overline{\lambda^\beta}. \qquad (2.1)
\end{aligned}$$

Now consider the other side. Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|f(t)|^2 &= \left|\sum a_\alpha [n(\alpha)]^{-it}\right|^2 \\ &= \sum |a_\alpha|^2 + \sum_\alpha \sum_{\beta\neq\alpha} a_\alpha \overline{a_\beta} n(\alpha)^{-it}\overline{n(\beta)^{-it}} \\ &= \sum |a_\alpha|^2 + \sum_\alpha \sum_{\beta\neq\alpha} a_\alpha \overline{a_\beta} n(\alpha-\beta)^{-it}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

So we want to place point masses t so that $n(\alpha-\beta)^{-it}$ is near $\lambda^\alpha\overline{\lambda^\beta}$ for all α, β. Examine both sides. Since $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}^\infty$, $\lambda^\alpha = \lambda_1^{\alpha_1}\lambda_2^{\alpha_2}\cdots\lambda_d^{\alpha_d}$ and there are θ_1, $\theta_2, \ldots, \theta_d$ so that

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda^\alpha\overline{\lambda^\beta} &= \lambda_1^{\alpha_1}\lambda_2^{\alpha_2}\cdots\lambda_d^{\alpha_d}\overline{\lambda_1^{\beta_1}\lambda_2^{\beta_2}\cdots\lambda_d^{\beta_d}} \\ &= e^{i\theta_1\alpha_1}e^{i\theta_2\alpha_2}\cdots e^{i\theta_d\alpha_d}e^{-i\theta_1\beta_1}e^{-i\theta_2\beta_2}\cdots e^{-i\theta_d\beta_d} \\ &= e^{i\theta_1(\alpha_1-\beta_1)}e^{i\theta_2(\alpha_2-\beta_2)}\cdots e^{i\theta_d(\alpha_d-\beta_d)}\end{aligned}$$

On the other side, we have

$$\begin{aligned}n(\alpha-\beta)^{-it} &= \left(p_1^{\alpha_1-\beta_1}\cdots p_d^{\alpha_d-\beta_d}\right)^{-it} \\ &= e^{-it\log\left(p_1^{\alpha_1-\beta_1}\cdots p_d^{\alpha_d-\beta_d}\right)} \\ &= e^{-i(\alpha_1-\beta_1)t\log(p_1)}\cdots e^{-i(\alpha_d-\beta_d)t\log(p_d)}\end{aligned}$$

Note that both of these lie on the unit circle, so the problem reduces to finding t so that $-t\log p_j \approx \theta_j \, mod\, 2\pi$.

Claim. *Fix k, $M \in \mathbb{N}$, $T > 0$ and let $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exists $T' > T$ such that there exist M distinct points $t_m^k \in (T, T')$ such that for each t_m^k there exist $q_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $1 \le j \le k$ satisfying*

$$|-t_m^k\log(p_j) - \theta_j - 2\pi q_j| < \epsilon. \tag{2.3}$$

Proof. This can be done with repeated applications of Kronecker's theorem.

Theorem (Kronecker's Theorem)**.** *Let $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_k \in \mathbb{R}$ be linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$ and let $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_k \in \mathbb{R}$ and $T, \epsilon > 0$ be given. Then there exists $t > T$ and $q_1, \ldots, q_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that*

$$|t\phi_j - \gamma_j - q_j| < \epsilon,\ 1 \le j \le k$$

Let $\phi_j = \log(p_j)$, $j = 1, \cdots, k$, $\gamma_j^k = \frac{\theta_j}{2\pi}$, and $T_1 > T$. The $\log p_j$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$ because the p_j are prime. Then, by Kronecker's Theorem we can find q_j for each j and $t > T_1$ such that

$$\left|-t\log p_j - \frac{\theta_j}{2\pi} - q_j\right| < \frac{\epsilon}{2\pi}$$

which gives

$$|-2\pi t\log p_j - \theta_j - 2\pi q_j| < \epsilon$$

so choose $t_1^k = 2k\pi t$ and note that $t_1^k > T_1$

In this way, construct a sequence $\{t_m^k\}$ where for each $1 < m \le M$ we choose $T_m = t_{m-1}^k + 1$. Then set $T' = T_M + 1$ which means that $\{t_m^k : 1 \le m \le M\} \subset (T, T')$ as needed. □

Now we would like to construct our measure ν_λ. We do this by inductively constructing a sequence of measures ν_k.

Construction of ν_λ: First, construct a measure ν_1. Choose some $T_0 > 0$ and let $M = 2$ and $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2M} = \frac{1}{4}$. By the above claim, we can find $T_1 > T_0$, t_1^1, t_2^1 and $q_1^1, q_2^1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\left|-t_m^1 \log p_1 - \theta_1 - 2\pi q_m^1\right| < \frac{1}{4}$$

and such that $t_1^1, t_2^1 \in (0, T_1)$. So define $\nu_1 = \delta_{t_1^1} + \delta_{t_2^1}$ and note that $\|\nu_1\| = 2$.

Now, inductively construct a sequence of measures $\{\nu_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$. Let $T > T_{k-1}$ and let $\epsilon = \frac{1}{M2^k}$ and $M = 2^k$. By the claim, we can find $T_k > T$, t_m^k for $1 \le m \le M$ and $q_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\left|-t_m^k \log p_j - \theta_j - 2\pi q_j\right| < \frac{1}{M2^k} = 2^{-2k}, \quad 1 \le j \le k \tag{2.4}$$

and such that $\{t_m^k : 1 \le m \le M\} \subset (T_{k-1}, T_k)$. Now define

$$\gamma_k = \sum_{m=1}^{M=2^k} \|\nu_{k-1}\| \delta_{t_m^k}$$

and

$$\nu_k = \nu_1 + \sum_{j=2}^{k} \gamma_j \tag{2.5}$$

and note that

$$\begin{aligned} |\nu_k([0, T_k])| = \|\nu_k\| &= \|\nu_{k-1}\| + 2^k \|\nu_{k-1}\| \\ &= (2^k + 1)\|\nu_{k-1}\|. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Let $\nu_\lambda = \nu_1 + \sum_{j=2}^\infty \gamma_j$. Note that each ν_k is defined on $[0, T_k]$ and that ν_λ restricted to any finite interval is ν_k for some k. We want to show

$$|F(\lambda)|^2 = \lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{\nu([0,T])} \int_0^T |f(t)|^2 d\nu_\lambda$$

Now, for any $T \in \mathbb{R}$, there is some $k(T)$ such that $T \in [T_k, T_{k+1}]$ and as $T \to \infty$, $k \to \infty$. This means that $\nu_\lambda|_{[0,T]} = \nu_{k+1}|_{[0,T]}$. For sufficiently large T, that is, T such that $k(T)$ is larger than the index of the greatest prime appearing in f, equation (2.4) holds for all primes appearing in f. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{1}{\nu_\lambda([0,T])} \int_0^T |f(t)|^2 d\nu_\lambda - |F(\lambda)|^2 \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{\nu_{k+1}([0,T])} \int_0^{T_{k-1}} |f(t)|^2 d\nu_\lambda + \frac{1}{\nu_{k+1}([0,T])} \int_{T_{k-1}}^T |f(t)|^2 d\nu_\lambda - |F(\lambda)|^2 \right| \\ &\le \frac{\nu_{k-1}([0,T_{k-1}])}{\nu_k([0,T_k])} \left\| |f(t)|^2 \right\|_\infty + \left| \frac{1}{\nu_{k+1}([0,T])} \int_{T_{k-1}}^T |f(t)|^2 d\nu_\lambda - |F(\lambda)|^2 \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{2^k+1} \left\| |f(t)|^2 \right\|_\infty + \left| \frac{1}{\nu_k([0,T])} \int_{T_{k-1}}^T |f(t)|^2 d\nu_\lambda - |F(\lambda)|^2 \right| \end{aligned}$$

The first term clearly goes to zero as k goes to infinity, so we need only to consider the second term. Because approximating t means approximating the difference in argument, not the actual distance in $\mathbb{C}$, we can use the formula for the length of a chord of a circle to find, for large k,

$$\left|\lambda^{\alpha}\overline{\lambda^{\beta}} - n(\alpha-\beta)^{-it_m^k}\right| < 2\sin(2^{-2k}) \approx \frac{2}{4^k} \tag{2.7}$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\frac{1}{\nu_{k+1}([0,T])}\int_{T_{k-1}}^{T}|f(t)|^2 d\nu_\lambda - |F(\lambda)|^2\right| \\
&= \left|\frac{1}{\nu_{k+1}([0,T])}\int_{T_{k-1}}^{T}\left[\sum|a_\alpha|^2 + \sum_{\alpha}\sum_{\beta\neq\alpha}a_\alpha\overline{a_\beta}n(\alpha-\beta)^{-it}\right]d\nu_\lambda - |F(\lambda)|^2\right|
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating with respect to ν_λ means evaluating at the point masses that allow us to use our approximation from equation (2.7):

$$\leq \left|\frac{\nu_{k+1}([T_{k-1},T])}{\nu_{k+1}([0,T])}\left[\sum|a_\alpha|^2 + \sum_{\alpha}\sum_{\beta\neq\alpha}a_\alpha\overline{a_\beta}\left(\lambda^{\alpha}\overline{\lambda^{\beta}} + \frac{2}{4^k}\right)\right] - |F(\lambda)|^2\right|$$

and using the expansion of $|f(\lambda)|^2$ yields

$$= \left|\frac{\nu_{k+1}([T_{k-1},T])}{\nu_{k+1}([0,T])}\left[|F(\lambda)|^2 + \left(\frac{2}{4^k}\right)\sum_{\alpha}\sum_{\beta\neq\alpha}a_\alpha\overline{a_\beta}\right] - |F(\lambda)|^2\right|.$$

Note that $\frac{2}{4^k} \to 0$ and since we have a polynomial, $\sum_\alpha\sum_{\beta\neq\alpha}a_\alpha\overline{a_\beta}$ is finite, so all that remains to show is that $\frac{\nu_{k+1}([T_{k-1},T])}{\nu_{k+1}([0,T])} \to 1$ as T (and therefore k) go to infinity:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{\nu_{k+1}([T_{k-1},T])}{\nu_{k+1}([0,T])} - 1\right| &= \left|\frac{\nu_k([T_{k-1},T_k]) + \nu_{k+1}([T_k,T])}{\nu_k([0,T_k]) + \nu_{k+1}([T_k,T])} - 1\right| \\
&= \left|\frac{2^k\|\nu_{k-1}\| + \nu_{k+1}([T_k,T])\frac{(2^k+1)\|\nu_{k-1}\|}{\|\nu_k\|}}{(2^k+1)\|\nu_{k-1}\| + \nu_{k+1}([T_k,T])\frac{(2^k+1)\|\nu_{k-1}\|}{\|\nu_k\|}} - 1\right| \\
&= \left|\frac{2^k + \nu_{k+1}([T_k,T])\frac{(2^k+1)}{\|\nu_k\|}}{(2^k+1) + \nu_{k+1}([T_k,T])\frac{(2^k+1)}{\|\nu_k\|}} - 1\right| \\
&= \left|\frac{\frac{2^k}{2^k+1} + \frac{\nu_{k+1}([T_k,T])}{\|\nu_k\|}}{1 + \frac{\nu_{k+1}([T_k,T])}{\|\nu_k\|}} - 1\right| \\
&= \left|\frac{\frac{2^k}{2^k+1} - 1}{1 + \frac{\nu_{k+1}([T_k,T])}{\|\nu_k\|}}\right|
\end{aligned}$$

and since $\frac{\nu_{k+1}([T_k,T])}{\|\nu_k\|} \geq 0$,

$$\leq \left|\frac{2^k}{2^k+1} - 1\right| \to 0, \text{ since as } T\to\infty,\ k\to\infty.$$

We now have

$$\left|\frac{\nu_{k+1}([T_{k-1},T])}{\nu_{k+1}([0,T])}\left[|F(\lambda)|^2+\left(\frac{2}{4^k}\right)\sum_{\alpha}\sum_{\beta\neq\alpha}a_\alpha\overline{a_\beta}\right]-|F(\lambda)|^2\right|$$
$$\leq\left|\left(\frac{2^k}{2^k+1}-1\right)|F(\lambda)|^2+\left(\frac{\nu_{k+1}([T_{k-1},T])}{\nu_{k+1}([0,T])}\right)\left[\left(\frac{2}{4^k}\right)\sum_{\alpha}\sum_{\beta\neq\alpha}a_\alpha\overline{a_\beta}\right]\right|.$$

As $T\to\infty$, $k\to\infty$, so the above goes to zero, giving

$$|F(\lambda)|^2=\lim_{T\to\infty}\frac{1}{\nu([0,T])}\int_0^T|f(t)|^2d\nu$$

as needed. □

2.3 For a linear combination of point masses

Lemma 8. *For every measure on $\mathbb{T}^\infty$ of the form $d\mu_N=\sum_{j=1}^N c_j\delta_{\lambda_j}$ with $\sum_{j=1}^N c_j=1$, there exists an infinite measure on $\mathbb{R}$, ν, such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty}|F(z)|^2d\mu_N=\lim_{T\to\infty}\frac{1}{\nu([0,T])}\int_0^T|f(t)|^2d\nu$$

for Dirichlet polynomials f.

Proof. First, note that $\int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty}|F(z)|^2d\mu_N=\sum_{j=1}^N c_j|F(\lambda_j)|^2$. By Lemma 7, for all j, we have measures ν_{λ_j} on $\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$|F(\lambda_j)|^2=\lim_{T\to\infty}\frac{1}{\nu_{\lambda_j}([0,T])}\int_0^T|f(t)|^2d\nu_{\lambda_j}.$$

So, for each j there exists a $T^{(j)}$ such that

$$\left|\frac{1}{\nu_{\lambda_j}\left([0,T^{(j)}]\right)}\int_0^{T^{(j)}}|f(t)|^2d\nu_{\lambda_j}-|F(\lambda_j)|^2\right|<\frac{1}{2}$$

Since there are finitely many j, set $T_0=\max_j\{T^{(j)}\}$, so for all j and any $T>T_0$

$$\left|\frac{1}{\nu_{\lambda_j}\left([0,T]\right)}\int_0^{T}|f(t)|^2d\nu_{\lambda_j}-|F(\lambda_j)|^2\right|<\frac{1}{2}$$

These approximations only improve as we tend to infinity:

Claim. *Given $\epsilon>0$, there exists T_ϵ such that for any finite $T>T_\epsilon$ and for all $j=1,\ldots,N$*

$$\left|\frac{1}{\nu_{\lambda_j}([T_\epsilon,T])}\int_{T_\epsilon}^{T}|f(t)|^2d\nu_{\lambda_j}-|F(\lambda_j)|^2\right|<\epsilon. \tag{2.8}$$

Proof. In our construction of the ν_{λ_j}, we place point masses t_k^j such that

$$\left||f(t_k^j)|^2 - |F(\lambda_j)|^2\right| < \delta \sum_\alpha \sum_{\beta\neq\alpha} a_\alpha \overline{a_\beta} \tag{2.9}$$

where δ depends on how far from zero the t_k^j fall. Let

$$\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{\sum_\alpha \sum_{\beta\neq\alpha} a_\alpha \overline{a_\beta}}$$

and for each j choose T_j such that for every point mass $t_k^j > T_j$ we have (2.9) for that j. Now set $T_\epsilon = \max_j\{T_j\}$. This means that for every point mass larger than T_ϵ, (2.9) holds for all j.

Now

$$\left|\frac{1}{\nu_{\lambda_j}([T_\epsilon,T])}\int_{T_\epsilon}^{T} |f(t)|^2 d\nu_{\lambda_j} - |F(\lambda_j)|^2\right| < \left|\frac{\nu_{\lambda_j}([T_\epsilon,T])}{\nu_{\lambda_j}([T_\epsilon,T])}\left(|F(\lambda_j)|^2+\epsilon\right) - |F(\lambda_j)|^2\right|$$
$$= \epsilon$$

□

Using this, choose an increasing sequence $\{T_n\}$ with $T_1 > T_0$, such that for all j, (and for $T > T_n$)

$$\left|\frac{1}{\nu_{\lambda_j}([T_n,T])}\int_{T_n}^{T} |f(t)|^2 d\nu_{\lambda_j} - |F(\lambda_j)|^2\right| < 2^{-(n+1)}.$$

Now define

$$\nu^{(1)} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{c_j \nu_{\lambda_j}}{\nu_{\lambda_j}([0,T_1])},$$
$$\nu^{(n)} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{c_j \nu_{\lambda_j}}{\nu_{\lambda_j}([T_{n-1},T_n])}$$

and

$$\nu = \nu^{(1)}\Big|_{[0,T_1]} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \nu^{(n)}\Big|_{[T_{n-1},T_n]}.$$

Note that $\nu([0,T_k]) = k$ and consider that given T we can find k such that $T \in [T_{k-1}, T_k]$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \frac{1}{\nu([0,T])} \int_0^T |f(t)|^2 d\nu - \int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} |F(z)|^2 d\mu_N \right| \\
&= \left| \frac{1}{\nu([0,T])} \left[\int_0^{T_1} |f(t)|^2 d\nu^{(1)} + \int_{T_1}^{T_2} |f(t)|^2 d\nu^{(2)} + \cdots + \int_{T_{k-1}}^{T} |f(t)|^2 d\nu^{(k)} \right] - \int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} |F(z)|^2 d\mu_N \right| \\
&= \left| \frac{1}{\nu([0,T])} \sum_{j=1}^{N} c_j \left[\frac{1}{\nu_{\lambda_j}([0,T_1])} \int_0^{T_1} |f(t)|^2 d\nu_{\lambda_j} + \frac{1}{\nu_{\lambda_j}([T_1,T_2])} \int_{T_1}^{T_2} |f(t)|^2 d\nu_{\lambda_j} + \cdots \right.\right. \\
&\qquad \left.\left. + \frac{1}{\nu_{\lambda_j}([T_{k-1},T_k])} \int_{T_{k-1}}^{T} |f(t)|^2 d\nu_{\lambda_j} \right] - \int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} |F(z)|^2 d\mu_N \right| \\
&< \left| \frac{1}{\nu([0,T])} \sum_{j=1}^{N} c_j \left[\left(|F(\lambda_j)|^2 + 2^{-1}\right) + \left(|F(\lambda_j)|^2 + 2^{-2}\right) + \cdots + \left(|F(\lambda_j)|^2 + 2^{-k}\right) \right] \right. \\
&\qquad \left. - \int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} |F(z)|^2 d\mu_N \right| \\
&= \left| \frac{1}{\nu([0,T])} \left[k \int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} |F(z)|^2 d\mu_N + \sum_{n=1}^{k} 2^{-n} \right] - \int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} |F(z)|^2 d\mu_N \right| \\
&< \left| \frac{1}{k} \left[k \int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} |F(z)|^2 d\mu_N + \sum_{n=1}^{k} 2^{-n} \right] - \int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} |F(z)|^2 d\mu_N \right| \\
&= \frac{1}{k} \sum_{n=1}^{k} 2^{-n}
\end{aligned}$$

As $T \to \infty$, $k \to \infty$, so this goes to zero as needed. □

2.4 Borel Measures

Now we return to the main result:

Proof. Lemma 6 shows that if the theorem holds for all Dirichlet polynomials, it holds for all elements of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{C}_+)$, so we just need to prove this for Dirichlet polynomials. Because μ is a Borel probability measure, and because all polynomials are continuous, the weak-$*$ convergence from Lemma 5 gives that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a finite linear combinition of point masses $\mu_n = \sum c_j \delta_{\lambda_j}$ such that

$$\left| \int |F(z)|^2 d\mu - \int |F(z)|^2 d\mu_n \right| < \frac{1}{2} 2^{-n}.$$

For each of these μ_n, Lemma 8 allows us to construct a measure ν_n such that

$$\int |F(z)|^2 d\mu_n = \lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{\nu_n([0,T])} \int_0^T |f(t)|^2 d\nu_n$$

. From this, we can find $T^{(0)}$ such that

$$\left| \frac{1}{\nu_1([0,T^{(0)}])} \int_0^{T^{(0)}} |f(t)|^2 d\nu_1 - \int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} |F(z)|^2 d\mu_1 \right| < \frac{1}{2} 2^{-1} \tag{2.10}$$

Claim. *Given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists for each n T_ϵ such that for each finite $T > T_\epsilon$*

$$\left| \frac{1}{\nu_n([T_\epsilon,T])} \int_{T_\epsilon}^{T} |f(t)|^2 d\nu_n - \int |F(z)|^2 d\mu_n \right| < \epsilon.$$

Proof. Use the notation in the construction from Lemma 8. Given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sum_{m=j}^{\infty} 2^{-m} < \epsilon$$

. In the construction of ν_n, we found a sequence T_m used to define ν_n. Let $T_\epsilon \geq T_j$. Also, any finite $T > T_\epsilon$ falls in $[T_{k-1}, T_k]$ for some k. As in the proof of Lemma 8, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \frac{1}{\nu_n([T_\epsilon,T])} \int_{T_\epsilon}^{T} |f(t)|^2 d\nu_n - \int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} |F(z)|^2 d\mu_n \right| \\
&= \left| \frac{1}{\nu_n([T_\epsilon,T])} \left[\int_{T_\epsilon}^{T_{j+1}} |f(t)|^2 d\nu^{(j)} + \int_{T_{j+1}}^{T_{j+2}} |f(t)|^2 d\nu^{(j+1)} + \cdots + \int_{T_{k-1}}^{T} |f(t)|^2 d\nu^{(k)} \right] - \int |F(z)|^2 d\mu_n \right| \\
&< \left| \frac{1}{k-j+1} \left[\int |F(z)|^2 d\mu + 2^{-j} + \int |F(z)|^2 d\mu_n + 2^{-(j+1)} + \cdots + \int |F(z)|^2 d\mu_n + 2^{-k} \right] - \int |F(z)|^2 d\mu_n \right| \\
&= \left| \frac{1}{k-j+1} \left[(k-j+1) \int |F(z)|^2 d\mu_n + \sum_{m=j}^{k} 2^{-m} \right] - \int |F(z)|^2 d\mu_n \right| \\
&= \frac{1}{k-j+1} \sum_{m=j}^{k} 2^{-m} \\
&< \epsilon
\end{aligned}$$

□

Using this, find an increasing sequence $\{T^{(n)}\}$ (with $T^{(1)}$ greater than the $T^{(0)}$ found above) such that for $n \geq 2$

$$\left| \frac{1}{\nu_n([T^{(n-1)},T^{(n)}])} \int_{T^{(n-1)}}^{T^{(n)}} |f(t)|^2 d\nu_n - \int |F(z)|^2 d\mu_n \right| < \frac{1}{2} 2^{-n} \tag{2.11}$$

and define

$$\nu = \frac{\nu_1|_{[0,T^{(1)}]}}{\nu_1([0,T^{(1)}])} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\nu_n|_{[T^{(n-1)},T^{(n)}]}}{\nu_n([T^{(n-1)},T^{(n)}])}$$

noting that

$$\nu([0,T^{(k)}]) = k.$$

For any T there is some $k(T)$ such that $T \in [T^{(k-1)}, T^{(k)}]$ and as $T \to \infty$, $k \to \infty$. So we can consider

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \frac{1}{\nu([0,T])} \int_0^T |f(t)|^2 d\nu - \int |F(z)|^2 d\mu \right| \\
&< \left| \frac{1}{k} \int_0^T |f(t)|^2 d\nu - \int |F(z)|^2 d\mu \right| \\
&= \left| \frac{1}{k} \left[\frac{1}{\nu_1([0,T^{(1)}])} \int_0^{T^{(1)}} |f(t)|^2 d\nu_1 + \frac{1}{\nu_2([T^{(1)},T^{(2)}])} \int_{T^{(1)}}^{T^{(2)}} |f(t)|^2 d\nu_2 + \cdots \right.\right. \\
&\qquad \left.\left. + \frac{1}{\nu_k([T^{(k-1)},T])} \int_{T^{(k-1)}}^{T} |f(t)|^2 d\nu_k \right] - \int |F(z)|^2 d\mu \right| \\
&< \left| \frac{1}{k} \left[\int |F(z)|^2 d\mu_1 + \int |F(z)|^2 d\mu_2 + \cdots + \int |F(z)|^2 d\mu_k + \sum_{n=1}^{k} \frac{1}{2} 2^{-n} \right] - \int |F(z)|^2 d\mu \right|
\end{aligned}$$

should probably put notes btwn these computations

$$\begin{aligned}
&< \left| \frac{1}{k} \left[k \int |F(z)|^2 d\mu + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{k} \frac{1}{2} 2^{-n} \right] - \int |F(z)|^2 d\mu \right| \\
&= \frac{1}{k} \sum_{n=1}^{k} 2^{-n}
\end{aligned}$$

Which goes to zero as $T \to \infty$ as needed. □

3 Proof of Theorem 4, Part *(ii)*

Recall the statement of Theorem 4, Part *(ii)*:

Theorem 4. *Let ν be a locally finite Borel measure on $\mathbb{R}$ such that the limit on the left hand side of (1.4) exists and is finite for all $\sum a_\alpha [n(\alpha)]^{-s} \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{C}_+)$. Then there exists a unique Borel probability measure μ on the infinite torus $\mathbb{T}^\infty$ such that, for all $\sum a_\alpha [n(\alpha)]^{-s} \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{C}_+)$, (1.4) holds.*

$$\lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{\nu([0,T])} \int_0^T \left| \sum a_\alpha [n(\alpha)]^{-it} \right|^2 d\nu(t) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} \left| \sum a_\alpha z^\alpha \right|^2 d\mu(z). \tag{1.4}$$

Proof. To prove this, we appeal to the Riesz Representation Theorem:

Theorem (Riesz Representation Theorem (Theorem 11.1, [5]))**.** *Let X be a compact Hausdorff space. If ϕ is a positive bounded linear functional on $C(X,\mathbb{R})$, then there exists a unique regular Borel measure μ on X such that*

$$\phi(f) = \int_X f d\mu$$

We will show that the left hand side of (1.4) can be used to define a positive bounded linear functional ϕ on $C(\mathbb{T}^\infty, \mathbb{R})$ which will give us our unique μ. This μ can then easily be shown to be a probability measure. We will define this functional ϕ on a subalgebra of $C(\mathbb{T}^\infty, \mathbb{R})$ and then apply Stone-Weierstrass to show that the definition extends to the whole of $C(\mathbb{T}^\infty, \mathbb{R})$.

Theorem (Stone-Weierstrass Theorem (Theorem 10.50, [5])). *Let X be a compact Hausdorff space. If $\mathcal{U}$ is a real subalgebra of $C(X, \mathbb{R})$ that separates points and contains the constant functions, then $\mathcal{U}$ is dense in $C(X, \mathbb{R})$.*

We choose to define ϕ on the set

$$\mathcal{U} = \{\sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n |F_n|^2 : |F_n| \text{ are polynomials on } \mathbb{T}^\infty\}$$

$$\phi : |F|^2 \mapsto \lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{\nu([0,T])} \int_0^T |f(it)|^2 \, d\nu(t). \tag{3.1}$$

Extending this linearly gives the definition of ϕ on $\mathcal{U}$, and if we can show that $\mathcal{U}$ is dense in $C(X, \mathbb{R})$, then we can extend ϕ to be a linear functional on $C(\mathbb{T}^\infty, \mathbb{R})$. To show that $\mathcal{U}$ is dense, apply Stone-Weierstrass: $\mathcal{U}$ clearly contains the constant functions and is a vector subspace of $C(X, \mathbb{R})$, and it is easy to show that it is closed under multiplication since $|F|^2|G|^2 = |FG|^2$ holds, and distribution shows that it holds for linear combinations as well. So we have that $\mathcal{U}$ is a subalgebra.

It is more difficult to show that $\mathcal{U}$ separates points on $\mathbb{T}^\infty$: given $z \neq w \in \mathbb{T}^\infty$ we need to find a function in $\mathcal{U}$, h such that $h(z) \neq h(w)$. The points z and w must differ in at least one coordinate, so without loss of generality, assume they differ in the first one, $z_1 \neq w_1$. Consider the linear function in one variable $P(z) = az_1 + (b + ic)$, where a, b, $c \neq 0$, and set $h(z) = |P(x_1 + iy_1)|^2 = (ax_1 + b)^2 + (ay_1 + c)^2$. Given two points, the constants can be chosen such that h separates those points.

So we have that $\mathcal{U}$ is dense in $C(\mathbb{T}^\infty, \mathbb{R})$, and ϕ as defined in (3.1) is clearly linear on $\mathcal{U}$. Extend ϕ continuously to $C(\mathbb{T}^\infty, \mathbb{R})$. Because $C(\mathbb{T}^\infty, \mathbb{R})$ is a Banach space and because of the assumption that the limit exists and is bounded for all elements in $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{C}_+)$, ϕ is bounded on $C(\mathbb{T}^\infty, \mathbb{R})$. Then Riesz Representation gives a unique measure μ on $\mathbb{T}^\infty$ such that

$$\phi(h) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} h(z) d\mu.$$

This μ is a probability measure because $\lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{\nu([0,T])} \int_0^T |1|^2 \, d\nu(t) = 1$, as needed. □

Remark: Uniqueness It is also important to note that we do not have uniqueness for ν. In the construction of ν_λ, point masses can be omitted or moved slightly, and when considering the linear combination, we can change our choice of support. Also, if the μ consists of point masses exactly on the image of the imaginary axis, we can just choose those to be our ν.

For example, if μ is the point mass at $(1, 1, \dots)$, then ν can be chosen to be the point mass at 0 or the measure constructed in Section 2. Another example is when μ is chosen to be normalized Lebesgue measure, the right hand side of (1.4) is $\sum_\alpha |a_\alpha|^2$ and ν can be set to be Lebesgue measure (this is the direct analog of Carlson's theorem on the boundary.) This can be shown using uniform convergence of polynomials, as discussed in Section 2.1.2.

References

[1] Aron, R., Bayart, F., Gauthier, P. M., Maestre, M., and Nestoridis, V. Dirichlet approximation and universal Dirichlet series. *arXiv:1608.06457 [math.CV]* (2016).

[2] Bohr, H. Über die Bedutung der Potenzreihen unendlich vieler Variabeln in der Theorie der Dirichletschen Reihen $\sum \frac{a_n}{n^s}$. *Nachr. Akad. Wiss. Göttingen, Math.-Phys. Kl.* (1913), 441–488.

[3] Carlson, F. Contributions à la théorie des séries de Dirichlet. *Ark. Mat. 16* (1922), 1–19. Note I.

[4] Hedenmalm, H., Lindqvist, P., and Seip, K. A Hilbert space of Dirichlet series and systems of dilated functions in $L^2(0,1)$. *Duke Math. J. 86* (1997), 1–37.

[5] Knapp, A. W. *Basic Real Analysis*. Birkhäusser Boston, 2005.

[6] Makridis, K., and Nestoridis, V. Sets of uniqueness for uniform limits of polynomials in several complex variables. *J. Math. Anal. Appl. 432*, 2 (2015), 994–1004.

[7] Rudin, W. *Real and Complex Analysis*, 3 ed. McGraw-Hill Inc., 1987.

[8] Saksman, E., and Seip, K. Integral means and boundary limits of Dirichlet series. *Bull. London Math. Soc. 41* (2009), 411–422.